

Risk Identification List

Risk Identification List									
Priority	Risk	Date	Risk Description	Probability	Potential	Assigned	Approach	Status	Handling
Now	Number	Raised			Impact	To			Plan?
1	R1	7/10/2006	If court disagrees that specifications to identify the reinstatement population is accurate.	2	5	JC, CS	Mitigate	Open	Yes
2	R2	7/13/2006	If reinstatements are not completed by 8/31/06	1	5	RA, TR	Mitigate -- Operations Plan and Daily Report/Over sight	Open	Yes
3	R3	7/13/2006	If FSSA cannot persuade Mr. Severns that a systematic fix has significant risk and would not be a timely solution.	3	5	Deloitte, RA, TR	Mitigate	Closed	No

Risk Assessment/Handling Plan							
Priority	Risk Item Number	Risk Description	Impact Description	Responsible	Resources Required	Actions	
						Contingency / Alternatives	
1	R1	If court disagrees that specifications to identify the reinstatement population is accurate.	Would cause additional recipients to be identified and would delay reinstatement	Cindy Stamper and Jo an Cartwright	16 Hours to rerun scan and undetermined time to reinstate added population	Feedback from Mr. Severns in 7/13/06 meeting. Assumption is that he will accept reason codes specified.	Mr sc:
2	R2	If reinstatements are not completed by 8/31/06	FSSA does not honor commitment. Recipients not reinstated in timely way. Negative newspaper articles.	Rich Adams and Tom Robison	Additional resources to reinstate recipients.	Identify and train additional resources.	Da rur scl
3	R3	If FSSA cannot persuade Mr. Severns that a systematic fix has significant risk and would not be a timely solution.	Reinstatement delayed. Possible outcome would be court-ordered, systematic reinstatement. Impact to Modernization effort. Negative newspaper articles.	Deloitte / Tom Robison	Unspecified time to code/test systematic reinstatement	Analysis of why systematic reinstatement has unacceptable risk and completion time. (Analysis completed)	Fu sy: